

Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2018-2019 batch

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective	Optional 1	Optional 2
	Parameters	))	CC	) 	Ele	Opti	ρdO
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed FIRST YEAR 2018-2019

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM BATCH 2018-2020

GRADE	Chil and grow up	dhood ving	rary and	tempo India cation	Learr and Teacl	Ü	Langua across curricu	the	ing	rstand plines cts	Gende School Society	and	Pedago a schoo subject I	ol
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	75	75%	70	70%	78	78 %	80			62%	76	76%	80	80 %
Good	25	25%	20	20%	20	20 %	20	20 20%		18%	12	12%	8	8%
Average	-	-	10	10%	8	8%			20	20%	12	12%	10	10%
Poor	-	-	-	1	1	-			-	-				

### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subject Pedagogy of a school subjects was very good.

- For the subject childhood and growing up 75 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Contemporary India and Education 70 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Learning and Teaching 78% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Language across the curriculum 80 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- For the subject Understanding disciplines and subjects 62% of the students graded it as A level (Very good)
- The subject Gender, School and Society 76 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject 80% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed SECOND YEAR 2019-2020

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM BATCH 2018-2020

GRADE	Knowle and Curricu	Ü	Assessm Learning		Creating Inclusive School	_	Enviror Educati		Pedagogy of school subject – part II		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Very	80	80%	82	82%	82	82%	85	85%	92	92%	
good											
Good	10	10%	8	8%	10	10%	12	12%	8	8%	
Average	10	10%	10	10%	8	8%	3	3%	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subject environmental Education subjects was very good.

- For the subject Knowledge and Curriculum 80 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Assessment for Learning 82 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Creating an Inclusive school 82% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Environmental Education 85 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject part II 92% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2018-2020 BATCH

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective 1	Elective 2	Dissertation
	Parameters	ŭ	ŭ	C	Ele	Ele	Diss
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						



#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR 2018-2019

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM BATCH 2018-2020


GRADE	Polit Econ	nomy of cation in	Advance Education Psychological	onal	Curricu design of Develop	&	Basics i Educati Researc	onal	Teach Educa India - Eleme level	tion in	and Issu	re, Status ues in ary level	Women's Studies	s
	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	37 74%		40	80%	30	60%	25	50%	32	64%	35	70%	42	84%
Good	10	20%	10	20%	20	40%	20	40%	12	24%	5	10%	6	12%
Average	3	0.06%	-	-	-	-	- 5 10%		6	12%	10	20%	2	4%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-				

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o Historical & Political Economy of Education in India was very good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Psychology 80 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- $\circ~$  For the subject Curriculum design & Development 60 % of the students graded it as Very good.
- o In the case of Basics in Educational Research 50% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Elementary level 64 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level 70 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Women's Studies 84% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.



# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2018-2019






### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed II YEAR 2019-2020

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM BATCH 2018-2020

GRADEV	Philoso	phicaloo	d Adva <b>(</b>	ce <b>A</b> vera	g <b>a</b> dv <b>⊅</b> nc	₽dor	Teacher	•	Curric	ulum,	Plannin	g,
	and		Techn		Educati		Educati	on in	pedage	ogy and	Admini	stration
	Sociolo	ogical	of Inst	ruction	Researc	h and	India-		Assess	sment	and	
	Perspec	ctives in			Statistic	es	Seconda	ary and	at Sec	ondary	Manage	ement of
	Educat	ion					Higher		level		Seconda	ary and
							Seconda	ary level			Higher	
											Seconda	ary
											Educati	on
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	40	80%	30	60%	30	60%	35	70%	32	64%	36	72%
good												
Good	10	20%	10	20%	10	20%	15	30%	12	24%	12	24%
Average	-	-	10	20%	10	20%	-	-	6	12%	2	4%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o Philosophical and Sociological Perspectives in Education 84% of the students graded it as very good.
- o For the subject Advanced Techniques of Instruction 64 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Research and Statistics 40 % of the students graded it as Good.
- o In the case of Teacher Education in India- Secondary and Higher Secondary level 50% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject curriculum, pedagogy and Assessment at secondary level 64 % of the students rated at A level (very Good)
- The subject Planning, Administration and Management of secondary and higher secondary level 72 % of the students graded at A level (Very Good).



### Indira

#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2019-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory
D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective	Optional 1	Optional 2
	Parameters	CC	CC	CC	Εlα	Opti	Opt
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed FIRST YEAR 2019-2020

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM BATCH 2019-2021

GRA DE	and	dhood ving up	Contemporar y India and Education		Learning and Teaching		Langua across curricu	the	Under ng Discip and su	olines	Gender, and Soc		Pedago a schoo subject I	ol
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	97	97%	98	98%	92	92%	95 95%		92	92%	96	96%	92	92%
Good	3	3%	2	2%	8	8%	5	5%	8	8%	4	4%	8	8%
Aver age	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
-Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Overall rating on the curriculum

- For the subject childhood and growing up 97 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Contemporary India and Education 98 % of the students graded it as Very Good. (98%)
- In the case of Learning and Teaching 92% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Language across the curriculum 95 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- For the subject Understanding disciplines and subject 92 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Gender, School and Society 96 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject 92% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed SECOND YEAR 2020-2021

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM Batch 2019-2021

GRADE	Knowle and Curricu	Ü	Assessm Learning		Creatin Inclusiv School	_	Enviror Educati		Pedagogy of school subject – part II		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Very	90	90%	92	92%	92	92%	95	95%	90	90%	
good											
Good	10	10%	8	8%	8	8%	15	5%	10	10%	
Average	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

#### Overall rating on the

- For the subject Knowledge and Curriculum 90 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Assessment for Learning 92 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Creating an Inclusive school 92% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Environmental Education 95 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject part II 90% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2019-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective 1	Elective 2	Dissertation
	Parameters	C	O	O	ЭΙЭ	Ele	Diss
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR 2019-2020 CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM Batch 2019-2021

GRADE	Histori	cal &	Advance	ed	Curricu	lum	Basics i	n	Teach	er	Structui	re, Status	Women	's
	Politica	al	Education	onal	design d	&	Educati	onal	Educa	tion in	and Issu	ies in	Studies	
	Econor	ny of	Psychol	ogy	Develop	pment	Researc	ch	India -	-	Seconda	ary level		
	Educat	ion in							Eleme	ntary				
	India								level					
			% N %											
	N % N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Very	49	98%	42			80%	45	90%	42	84%	46	92%	42	84%
good														
Good	1	2%	8	16%	10	20%	5	10%	8	16%	4	8%	8	16%
Average	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subject

- o Historical & Political Economy of Education in India was very good. Graded 98%.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Psychology 84 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- $\circ~$  For the subject Curriculum design & Development 80 % of the students graded it as Very good.
- o In the case of Basics in Educational Research 90% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Elementary level 84 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level 92 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Women's Studies 84% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed II YEAR 2020-2021

#### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM Batch 2019-2021

GRADEV	Philoso	phicaloo	l Adva <b>c</b>	cedvera	g&dvpnc	<b>P</b> dor	Teacher	r	Curric	ulum,	Plannin	g,
	and		Techn		Educati		Educati	on in	pedage	ogy and	Admini	stration
	Sociolo	ogical	of Inst	ruction	Researc	h and	India-	India-		sment	and	
	Perspec	ctives in			Statistic	es	Second	ary and	at Sec	ondary	Management of	
	Educati	ion					Higher		level		Secondary and	
							Second	ary level			Higher	
											Seconda	ary
											Educati	on
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	44	88%	47	94%	40	80%	41	82%	42	84%	46	92%
good												
Good	6	12%	3	6%	10	20%	9	18%	8	16%	4	8%
Average	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o Philosophical and Sociological perspective in Education was very good. (88%)
- o For the subject Advanced Techniques of Instruction 94 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Research and Statistics 80 % of the students graded it as Good.
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Secondary and Higher Secondary level 82 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Curriculum, pedagogy and Assessment at secondary level 84 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Planning, Administration and Management of secondary and higher secondary level 92% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.



### Indira

### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2020-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - SatisfactoryD - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective	Optional 1	Optional 2
	Parameters	O)	Cc	) )	Ele	Opti	Opt
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed FIRST YEAR

### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021

GRADE	Childhood and growing up  N %		Contemporar y India and Education		Learning and Teaching		Language across the curriculum		Understand ing Disciplines and subjects		Gender, School and Society		Pedagogy of a school subject – Part I	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	60	60 %	78	78%	72	72 %	75	75%	62	62%	76	76%	82	82%
Good	25	25 %	12	12%	20	20 %	20	20%	18	18%	12	12%	8	8%
Average	15	15 %	10	10%	8	8%	5	5%	20	20%	12	12%	10	10%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

#### Overall rating on the curriculum

- For the subject childhood and growing up 60 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Contemporary India and Education 78 % of the students graded it as Very Good. (78%)
- In the case of Learning and Teaching 72% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Language across the curriculum 75 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Gender, School and Society 76 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject 82% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed SECOND YEAR

### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021

GRADE	Knowledge and Curriculum		Assessment for Learning		Creating Inclusive School	_	Environ Educati		Pedagogy of school subject – part II		
	N	%	% N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	
Very	78	78%	62	62%	82	82%	85	85%	72	72%	
good											
Good	12	12%	18	18%	10	10%	12	12%	18	18%	
Average	10	10% 20		20%	8	8%	3	3%	10	10%	
Poor			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

#### Overall rating on the

- For the subject Knowledge and Curriculum 78 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Assessment for Learning 62 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Creating an Inclusive school 82% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Environmental Education 85 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject part II 72% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2021-2022

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective 1	Elective 2	Dissertation
	Parameters	ŭ	Ö	Ö	Ele	Ele	Diss
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

GRADE	Political Economy of Education in India		Advanced Educational Psychology		Curriculum design & Development		Basics in Educational Research		Teacher Education in India - Elementary level		Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level		Women Studies	S
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	47	94%	45	90%	42	84%	44	88%	46	92%	36	72%	42	84%
good														
Good	3	6%	5	10%	8	16%	6	12%%	4	8%	12	24%	6	12%
Average	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Poor	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subject

- o Historical & Political Economy of Education in India was very good. (94% graded)
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Psychology 90 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Curriculum design & Development 84 % of the students graded it as Very good.
- o In the case of Basics in Educational Research 88% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Elementary level 92 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level 72 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Women's Studies 84% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






#### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed II YEAR

### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

GRADEV	Philoso	phicaloo	d Adva <b>(</b>	ced <sub>vera</sub>	gAdvpnc	<b>P</b> dor	Teacher	r	Curric	ulum,	Planning,	
	and		Techn	iques	Educati	onal	Educati	on in	pedag	ogy and	Admini	stration
	Sociolo	ogical	of Inst	ruction	Researc	h and	India-		Assess	sment	and	
	Perspec	ctives in			Statistic	es	Second	ary and	at Sec	ondary	Manage	ement of
	Educati	Education					Higher		level		Secondary and	
							Secondary level				Higher	
									Second	ary		
					_						Educati	on
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	41	82%	40	80%	45	90%	44	88%	42	84%	46	92%
good												
Good	9 18% 10 20%		20%	5	10%	6	12%	8	16%	4	8%	
Average			-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-		
Poor			-	_	-	-	-	-	-			

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o Philosophical and Sociological perspective in Education was very good. (graded 82%)
- o For the subject Advanced Techniques of Instruction 80 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Research and Statistics 90 % of the students graded it as Good.
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Secondary and Higher Secondary level 88 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Curriculum, pedagogy and Assessment at secondary level 84 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Planning, Administration and Management of secondary and higher secondary level 92% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2020-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective 1	Elective 2	Dissertation
	Parameters				Ħ	EI	Dis
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						




# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021

GRADE	Historical & Political Economy of Education in India		Advanced Educational Psychology		Curriculum design & Development		Basics in Educational Research		Teacher Education in India - Elementary level		Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level		Women's Studies	s
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	27	54%	32	64%	30	60%	25	50%	32	64%	36	72%	42	84%
Good	18	36%	8	16%	12	24%	20	40%	12	24%	12	24%	6	12%
Average	5	10%	10	20%	8	16%	5	10%	6	12%	2	4%	2	4%
Poor	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-				

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subject

- o Historical & Political Economy of Education in India was very good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Psychology 64 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Curriculum design & Development 60 % of the students graded it as Very good.
- o In the case of Basics in Educational Research 50% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Elementary level 64 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Structure, Status and Issues in Secondary level 72 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Women's Studies 84% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.






### INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed II YEAR

### CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021

GRADEV	Philoso	p <b>B</b> ical <sub>000</sub>	l Adva <b>(</b>	cedvera	g <b>A</b> dv <b>∄</b> nc	₽dor	Teacher	r	Curric	ulum,	Plannin	g,
	and	-	Techn		Educati		Educati	on in	pedag	ogy and	Administration	
	Sociolo	ogical	of Inst	ruction	Research and		India-		Assess	sment	and	
	Perspec	ctives in			Statistics		Secondary and		at Secondary		Management of	
	Educati	Education					Higher		level		Seconda	ary and
							Secondary level				Higher	
								Secondary				
											Educati	on
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	42	84%	30	60%	20	40%	21	50%	32	64%	36	72%
good												
Good	6	12%	10	20%	20	40%	22	40%	12	24%	12	24%
Average	2	4%	10	20%	10 20%		7	10%	6	12%	2	4%
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

#### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o Philosophical and Sociological perspective in Education was very good. (84%)
- o For the subject Advanced Techniques of Instruction 60 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Research and Statistics 40 % of the students graded it as Good.
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Secondary and Higher Secondary level 50 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Curriculum, pedagogy and Assessment at secondary level 64 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of Planning, Administration and Management of secondary and higher secondary level 72% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

#### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

Year: 2021-2022

**Programme: B.Ed I year** 

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Elective	Optional 1	Optional 2
	Parameters	CC	C	CC	回	Opti	Opt
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any						
2.	Extent of coverage of course						
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)						
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material						
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)						
7.	Extent of student satisfaction						
8.	Overall rating						



# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed FIRST YEAR


# CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

GRADE	Chile and grow up	dhood ving	ry In	empora dia and cation	and	rning	Lang acros currio	•	ing	erstand plines cts	Gende School Societ	and	Pedago a schoo subject I	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	92	92%	96	96%	92	92%	95	95%	90	90%	96	96%	98	98%
Good	8	8%	4	4%	8	8%	5	5%	10	10%	4	4%	2	2%
Average	-	-												
Poor	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	_	-				

#### Overall rating on the curriculum

- For the subject childhood and growing up 92 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Contemporary India and Education 96 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Learning and Teaching 92% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Language across the curriculum 95 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- For the subject Understanding discipline and subjects 90 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- The subject Gender, School and Society 96 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject 98% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.

**PRINCIPAL** 





# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed SECOND YEAR


# CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

GRADE	Knowle and Curricu	Ü	Assessm Learning		Creating Inclusive School	_	Environ Educati		schoo	ogy of l et – part
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very	90	90%	92	92%	97	97%	95	95%	92	92%
good										
Good	10	10%	8	8%	3	3%	5	5%	8	8%
Average	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Overall rating on the

- For the subject Knowledge and Curriculum 90 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Assessment for Learning 92 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- In the case of Creating an Inclusive school 97% of the students graded it as A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Environmental Education 95 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good)
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject part II 92% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.

**PRINCIPAL** 





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

# FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM (I,II,III & IV SEMESTER)

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2022-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 - point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory
D - Unsatisfactory

- 1. Depth of the course content including project work if any
- 2. Extent of coverage of course
- 3. Applicability/relevance to real life situations
- 4. Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
- 5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- 6. Relevance of additional source material (Library)
- 7. Extent of student satisfaction

Parameters	Educational Psychology	Contemporary India and Education	Teaching and Learning	Language across the Curriculum	Pedagogical Subject: Part I	Understanding disciplines and Subject	Assessment for Learning	Environmental Education	School Management and Administration	Pedagogical Subject: Part II	Gender, School and Society	Knowledge and Curriculum	Creating an Inclusive School	Yoga, Health and Physical Education	Elective: Values and Peace Education
1															
2															
3															
4															
5															
6															
7															




# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION B.Ed (I, II,III & IV SEMESTER)

# CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

#### Overall rating on the curriculum,

- o For the subject Educational Psychology 80 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Contemporary India and Education 92 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o In the case of Teaching and Learning 85% of the students graded it as very good.
- o For the subject Language across the curriculum 90 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good).
- For the subject Understanding disciplines and subjects 88% of the students graded it as A level (Very good)
- o For the subject Assessment for learning 84 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o For the subject Environmental Education 94 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject School Management and Administration 96 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- The subject Gender, School and Society 90 % of the students graded the curriculum at A level (Very Good).
- For the subject Knowledge and Curriculum 93 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Creating an Inclusive School 94 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Yoga, Health and Physical Education 97 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- For the subject Values and Peace Education 95 % of the students graded it as Very Good.
- o In the case of pedagogy of a school subject Part I 95% and Part II 93% of the students graded at maximum level A grade.

**PRINCIPAL** 





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

#### FIRST YEAR - I & II SEMESTER

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2022-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

S.No	Courses	Historical & Political Economy of Education in India	Advanced Educational Psychology	Basics in Educational Research	Teacher Education in India - Elementary level	Philosophy of Education	Curriculum Design and Development	Advanced Educational Research and Statistics	Planning and Administration of Elementary Education
	Parameters	Histe Pc Ecol Educ	Ad Edu Psy	Ba Edu Re	Teacher in India Element	Philo Ed	Cur Des Deve	Ad Edu Rese Sta	Planning and Administratic Elementary Education
1.	Depth of the course content including project work if any								
2.	Extent of coverage of course								
3.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations								
4.	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)								
5.	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material								
6.	Relevance of additional source material (Library)								
7.	Extent of student satisfaction								
8.	Overall rating								

# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR (I SEM & II SEM)




# CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

GRADE		Folucal Economy of Education in India	Advanced Educational	Psychology	Basics in Educational	Research	Teacher Education in India -	Elementary level	Philosophy of Education		1 :51 4	and Development	Advanced Educational	Research and Statistics		Administration of Elementary Education
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	40	80%	37	74%	35	70%	45	90%	42	84%	38	76%	35	70%	42	84%
Good	10	20%	13	26%	15	30%	5	10%	8	16%	12	24%	15	30%	8	16%
Average	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- Historical & Political Economy of Education in India was very good (80%) and Good (20%)
- o For the subject Advanced Educational Psychology 74 % of the students graded as Very Good and 26% of the students graded as Good.
- In the case of Basics in Educational Research 70% of the students graded as A level (Very Good) and 30% of the students graded as Good.
- For the subject Teacher Education in India Elementary level 90 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good) and 10% of the students graded as Good.
- o For the subject Philosophy of Education 84% of the students graded as Very Good and 16% of the students graded as Good.
- o For the subject Curriculum design & Development 76 % of the students graded as Very good and Good 24%.
- The subject Advanced Educational Research and Statistics 70 % of the students graded as very good and 30% of the students graded as Good.
- o In the case of Planning and Administration of Elementary Education 84% of the students graded as very good and 16% of the students graded as good.





Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

### FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM

#### FIRST YEAR - III & IV SEMESTER

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2022-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Unsatisfactory

Courses	Sociology of Education	Advanced Techniques of Instruction	Educational Measurement and Evaluation	Curriculum, Pedagogy and Assessment at Elementary level	Educational Studies	Comparative Education	ICT in Teaching and Learning	Guidance and counselling
Parameters	Soci Ed	Ad Tech Ins	Edu Mea	Cur Peda Asse Ele	Edu	Com	ICT in Teaching Learning	Guid
Depth of the course content including project work if any								
Extent of coverage of course								
Applicability/relevance to real life situations								
Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)								
Clarity and relevance of textual reading material								
Relevance of additional source material (Library)								
Extent of student satisfaction								
Overall rating								

# INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION M.Ed FIRST YEAR (III SEM & IV SEM)




# CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

GRADE		Education	Advanced Techniques of		Educational Measurement and		Curriculum,	Ξ.	Educational	Studies		Comparative Education	ICT in Teaching	7	,	Guidance and counselling
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Very good	42	84%	40	80%	38	76%	45	90%	42	84%	39	78%	35	70%	42	84%
Good	8	16%	10	20%	12	24%	5	10%	8	16%	11	22%	15	30%	8	16%
Average	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	N % N % N %  ry good 42 84% 40 80% 38 76%  Good 8 16% 10 20% 12 24%  verage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					

### Overall rating on the curriculum for the subjects

- o For the Subject Sociology of Education 84% of the students graded as very good and 16% of the students graded as Good.
- For the subject Advanced Techniques of Instruction 80 % of the students graded as Very Good and 20% of the students graded as Good.
- o In the case of Educational Measurement and Evaluation 76% of the students graded as A level (Very Good) and 24% of the students graded as Good.
- For the subject Curriculum, pedagogy assessment at Elementary level 90 % of the students rated the curriculum at A level (very Good) and 10% of the students graded as Good.
- o For the subject Educational Studies 84% of the students graded as Very Good and 16% of the students graded as Good.
- o For the subject Comparative Education 78 % of the students graded as Very good and Good 22%.
- The subject ICT in Teaching and Learning 70 % of the students graded as very good and 30% of the students graded as Good.
- $\circ$  In the case of Guidance and Counselling 84% of the students graded as very good and 16% of the students graded as good.





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: M.Ed BATCH: 2018-2020

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory D - Un satisfactory

S.No	Courses	RV	NJ	UV	JL	RP	APJ	MK
	Parameters							
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)							
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)							
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher							
4.	Interest generated by the teacher							
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective							
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses							
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)							
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course							
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback							
10	Overall rating							

## **Faculty details**

RV - Mrs. Radhika vidyasagar

NJ - Mrs. N. Jayavardhini

UV- Mrs. U. Visalatchi

JL - Ms. J. Lizzie

RP - Mrs. M.P. Rama Priya

APJ - Mr. A. Jayapaul


MK - Mr. N. Mohana Kannan

The M.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the year 2018-2020 was conducted at the end of second year. Fifty students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

GRADE	Dr. Rad vidy	hika asagar	Mrs Jaya	. N. wardhini	Mrs. Visa	U. latchi	Ms. Lizz		Mrs. Rama	M.P. ı Priya	Mr. <i>A</i> Jayap		Mr. Mol Kan	nana
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
VERY GOOD	47	95%	44	98%	42	94%	43	90%	43	96%	44	91%	43	90%
GOOD	3	10%	6	4%	8	16%	7	14%	7	14%	6	12%	7	14%
AVERAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University *Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC* STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**Programme: B.Ed** BATCH: 2018 - 2020

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

Very Good A

В Good

C Satisfactory D Un satisfacory

S.N	0	Courses	RC	KS	JJ	VS	SD	SR	RS	DJ	JK	SAP	CV	Gl	•
		Parameters													
	1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)													<u>i</u>
	2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)													
	3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher													
	4.	Interest generated by the teacher													
	5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective													
	6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses													
	7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)													
	8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course													
	9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback													
	10	Overall rating													

#### **Faculty details**

RC - Mr. R. Chandra Sekaran

RC - Ms. R. Subhalakshmi

KS - Mrs. K. Suganya

DJ - Mrs. D. Jothi

JJ - Mrs. J. Jayanthi

JK - Mrs. D. Jayakumari

SAP - Mr. S. Arunachala Perumal

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

CV - Mr. C. Veeramanikandan

SD - Mr. S. Dasaradhan

GP - MRs. G. Poonguzhali


SR - Mrs. S. Rajalakshmi

The B.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the year 2018-2020 was conducted at the end of second year. One hundred students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

In the case of Mr. A. Arunachala Perumal is 97%, Mrs. D. Jayakumari is 96%, Mrs. S. Rajalakshmi is 90%, Mr. S. Dasarathan is 91%, Ms. R. Subha Lakshmi is 93%, Mrs. J. Jayanthi is 90%, Mrs. G. Poonguzhali is 91%, Mrs. D. Jothi is 94%, Mr. C. Veeramanikandan is 95%, Mrs. J. Suganya is 98%, Ms. V. Suriya is 97% and Mr. R. Chandrasekaran is 92%.





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: M.Ed BATCH: 2019-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory D - Un satisfactory

S.No	Courses	RV	NJ	UV	JL	RP	APJ	MK
	Parameters							
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)							
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)							
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher							
4.	Interest generated by the teacher							
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective							
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses							
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)							
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course							
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback							
10	Overall rating							

## **Faculty details**

RV - Mrs. Radhika vidyasagar

NJ - Mrs. N. Jayavardhini

UV- Mrs. U. Visalatchi

JL - Ms. J. Lizzie

RP - Mrs. M.P. Rama Priya

APJ - Mr. A. Jayapaul


MK - Mr. N. Mohana Kannan

The M.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2019-2021 was conducted at the end of second year. Fifty students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

GRADE	D.		Mass	NT	Mass	TT	11.	T	Mas	MD	1 / L. A		1/4	NT
GRADE	Dr.		Mrs	. IN.	Mrs.	. U.	Ms.		Mrs.	W.P.	Mr. A	١.	Mr.	IN.
	Rad	hika	Jaya	ıvardhini	Visa	latchi	Lizz	zie	Rama	Priya	Jayap	aul	Moh	ana
	vidy	asagar								<u> </u>	J 1		Kan	nan
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
VERY	47	94%	44	92%	42	94%	45	92%	43	95%	40	92%	42	92%
GOOD														
GOOD	3	0.06	6	12%	8	16%	5	10%	7	14%	10	20%	8	16%
		%												
AVERAGE	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**Programme: B.Ed** BATCH: 2019-2021

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

Very Good A

В Good

C Satisfactory D Un satisfacory

S.N	0	Courses	RC	KS	JJ	VS	SD	SR	RS	DJ	JK	SAP	CV	Gl	•
		Parameters													
	1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)													
	2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)													
	3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher													
	4.	Interest generated by the teacher													
	5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective													
	6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses													
	7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)													
	8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course													
	9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback													
	10	Overall rating													

#### **Faculty details**

RC - Mr. R. Chandra Sekaran

RC - Ms. R. Subhalakshmi

KS - Mrs. K. Suganya

DJ - Mrs. D. Jothi

JJ - Mrs. J. Jayanthi

JK - Mrs. D. Jayakumari

SAP - Mr. S. Arunachala Perumal

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

CV - Mr. C. Veeramanikandan

SD - Mr. S. Dasaradhan

GP - MRs. G. Poonguzhali


SR - Mrs. S. Rajalakshmi

The B.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2019-2021 was conducted at the end of second year. One hundred students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

In the case of Mr. A. Arunachala Perumal is 94%, Mrs. D. Jayakumari is 92%, Mrs. S. Rajalakshmi is 92%, Mr. S. Dasarathan is 88%, Ms. R. Subha Lakshmi is 86%, Mrs. J. Jayanthi is 82%, Mrs. G. Poonguzhali is 81%, Mrs. D. Jothi is 88%, Mr. C. Veeramanikandan is 85%, Mrs. J. Suganya is 88%, Ms. V. Suriya is 87% and Mr. R. Chandrasekaran is 82%.





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: M.Ed BATCH: 2020-2022

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory
D - Un satisfactory

S.No	Courses	RV	NJ	UV	JL	RP	APJ	MK
	Parameters							
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)							
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)							
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher							
4.	Interest generated by the teacher							
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective							
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses							
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)							
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course							
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback							
10	Overall rating							

## **Faculty details**

RV - Mrs. Radhika vidyasagar

NJ - Mrs. N. Jayavardhini

UV- Mrs. U. Visalatchi

JL - Ms. J. Lizzie

RP - Mrs. M.P. Rama Priya

APJ - Mr. A. Jayapaul


MK - Mr. N. Mohana Kannan

The M.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2020-2022 was conducted at the end of second year. Fifty students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

GRADE	Dr. Radhika vidyasagar		Mrs. N. Jayavardhini		Mrs. U. Visalatchi		Ms. Lizz		Mrs. Rama	M.P. Priya	Mr. A. Jayapaul		Mr. Moh Kan	ana
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
VERY GOOD	45	95%	44	98%	42	96%	43	94%	43	96%	44	92%	43	93%
GOOD	5	10%	6	12%	8	16%	7	14%	7	14%	6	12%	7	14%
AVERAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University *Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC* STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**Programme: B.Ed** BATCH: 2020-2022

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A Very Good

В Good

C Satisfactory D Un satisfacory

S.N	0	Courses	RC	KS	JJ	VS	SD	SR	RS	DJ	JK	SAP	CV	Gl	,
		Parameters													
	1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)													
	2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)													
	3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher													
	4.	Interest generated by the teacher													
	5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective													
	6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses													
	7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)													
	8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course													
	9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback													
	10	Overall rating													

#### **Faculty details**

RC - Mr. R. Chandra Sekaran

RC - Ms. R. Subhalakshmi

KS - Mrs. K. Suganya

DJ - Mrs. D. Jothi

JJ - Mrs. J. Jayanthi

JK - Mrs. D. Jayakumari

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

SAP - Mr. S. Arunachala Perumal

CV - Mr. C. Veeramanikandan

SD - Mr. S. Dasaradhan

GP - MRs. G. Poonguzhali


SR - Mrs.A. Nancy Rajathi

The B.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2020-2022 was conducted at the end of second year. One hundred students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

In the case of Mr. A. Arunachala Perumal is 92%, Mrs. D. Jayakumari is 96%, Mrs. A. Nancy Rajathi is 90%, Mr. S. Dasarathan is 91%, Ms. R. Subha Lakshmi is 93%, Mrs. J. Jayanthi is 90%, Mrs. G. Poonguzhali is 91%, Mrs. D. Jothi is 94%, Mr. C. Veeramanikandan is 95%, Mrs. J. Suganya is 98%, Ms. V. Suriya is 97% and Mr. R. Chandrasekaran is 92%.





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: M.Ed BATCH: 2021-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory
D - Un satisfactory

S.No	Courses	NJ	UV	JL	RP	APJ	MK	DJ	AR	MR	BK
	Parameters										
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)										
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)										
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher										
4.	Interest generated by the teacher										
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective										
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses										
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)										
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course										
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback										
10	Overall rating										

### **Faculty Details**

NJ - Mrs. N. Jayavardhini

UV- Mrs. U. Visalatchi

JL – Dr. J. Lizzie

RP – Dr. M.P. Rama Priya

APJ - Mr. A. Jayapaul

MK - Mr. N. Mohana Kannan

DJ- Mrs. D. Jayakumari

AM- Dr. Amarnatha Reddy

MR- Dr. Mohammed Raffi


BK – Dr. B. Krishnaiah

The M.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2021-2023 was conducted at the end of second year. Fifty students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

Grade	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
Name of the Staff	_			
Mrs. N. Jayavardhini	98%	2%	-	-
Mrs. U. Visalatchi	98%	2%		
Dr. J. Lizzie	95%	5%		
Dr. M.P. Rama Priya	96%	4%		
Mr. A. Jayapaul	93%	7%		
Mr. N. Mohana Kannan	94%	6%		
Mrs. D. Jayakumari	95%	5%		
Dr.J.M.Amaranadha Reddy	90%	10%	-	-
Dr. Mohammad Raffi	92%	8%	-	-
Dr. B. Krishnaiah	90%	10%	-	-





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University
Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC
STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2021-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Un satisfacory

S	.No	Courses		KS	JJ	VS	SD	SR	RS	DJ	JK	SAP	CV	G
		Parameters												P
	1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)												
	2	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)												
	3	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher												
	4	Interest generated by the teacher												
	5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective												
	6	Ability to integrate content with other courses												
	7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)												
	8	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course												
	9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback												
	10	Overall rating											_	

#### **Faculty details**

RC - Mr. R. Chandra Sekaran
RC - Ms. R. Subhalakshmi

KS - Mrs. K. Suganya DJ - Mrs. D. Jothi

JJ - Mrs. J. Jayanthi JK - Mrs. D. Jayakumari

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

SAP - Mr. S. Arunachala Perumal
CV - Mr. C. Veeramanikandan

SD - Mr. S. Dasaradhan GP - MRs. G. Poonguzhali


SR - Mrs.A. Nancy Rajathi

The B.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the batch 2021-2023 was conducted at the end of second year. One hundred students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

In the case of Mr. A. Arunachala Perumal is 98%, Mrs. D. Jayakumari is 97%, Mrs. A. Nancy Rajathi is 95%, Mr. S. Dasarathan is 94%, Ms. R. Subha Lakshmi is 95%, Mrs. J. Jayanthi is 92%, Mrs. G. Poonguzhali is 94%, Mrs. D. Jothi is 94%, Mr. C. Veeramanikandan is 95%, Mrs. J. Suganya is 98%, Ms. V. Suriya is 97% and Mr. R. Chandrasekaran is 92%.





Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University
Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: M.Ed Year: 2022-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory
D - Un satisfactory

Courses	JL	AR	MR	BK	NJ	UV	RP	APJ	MK	DJ
Parameters										
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)										
Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)										
Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher										
Interest generated by the teacher										
Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective										
Ability to integrate content with other courses										
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)										
Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course										
Provision of sufficient time for feedback										
Overall rating										

JL – Dr. J. Lizzie

AR-Dr. J.M. Amarnadha Reddy

MR – Dr. Mohammad Raffi

BK. Dr. B. Krishnaiah

NJ - Mrs. N. Jayavardhini

UV- Mrs. U. Visalatchi

RP - Mrs. M.P. Rama Priya

APJ - Mr. A. Jayapaul

MK - Mr. N. Mohana Kannan

DJ – Mrs. D. Jayakumari

The M.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the year 2022-2023 was conducted at the end of second year. Fifty students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

Grade	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
Name of the Staff				
Dr. J. Lizzie	92%	8%	-	-
Dr.J.M.Amaranadha Reddy	89%	11%	-	-
Dr. Mohammad Raffi	90%	10%	-	-
Dr. B. Krishnaiah	88%	12%	-	-
Mrs. N. Jayavardhini	93%	7%	-	-
Mrs. U. visalatchi	90%	10%	-	-
Mrs. M.P. Rama Priya	95%	5%	-	-
Mr. A. Jayapaul	93%	7%	-	-
Mr. N. Mohana Kannan	92%	8%	-	-
Mrs. D. Jayakumari	90%	10%	-	-

The overall impression is that all faculties are found to be very good.

**PRINCIPAL** 



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University
Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC
STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Programme: B.Ed Year: 2022-2023

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

A - Very Good

B - Good

C - Satisfactory

D - Un satisfacory

S.No	Courses	RC	KS	JJ	VS	SD	SR	RS	DJ	JK	SAP	CV	GP
	Parameters												
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)												
2.	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)												
3.	Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher												
4.	Interest generated by the teacher												
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective												
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses												
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)												
8.	Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course												
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback												
10	Overall rating												

#### **Faculty details**

RC - Mr. R. Chandra Sekaran RC - Ms. R. Subhalakshmi

KS - Mrs. K. Suganya DJ - Mrs. D. Jothi

JJ - Mrs. J. Jayanthi JK - Mrs. D. Jayakumari

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

SAP - Mr. S. Arunachala Perumal

VS - Ms. V. Suriya

CV Mr. C. Veeramanikandan

CV - Mr. C. Veeramanikandan SD - Mr. S. Dasaradhan GP - MRs. G. Poonguzhali

SR - Mrs.A. Nancy Rajathi

The B.Ed students' Satisfactory Survey for the year 2022-2023 was conducted at the end of second year. One hundred students from the final year participated in the survey. Report is as follows:

The overall rating for the parameter

- Knowledge
- Communication Skills
- Sincerity
- Interest
- Integration
- Ability
- Accessibility
- Evaluation
- Provide sufficient time for feedback

The feedback of analysis of students on Teachers have revealed that Mr. A. Arunachala Perumal scores 96%, Mrs. A. Nancy Rajathi scores 94%, Mr. S. Dasaradhan scores 93%, Ms. R. Subha Lakshmi scores 94%, Mrs. J. Jayanthi scores 90%, Mrs. G. Poonguzhali scores 91%, Mrs. D. Jothi scores 96%, Mr. C. Veeramanikandan scores 95%, Mrs. J. Suganya scores 96%, Ms. V. Suriya scores 94% and Mr. R. Chandrasekaran scores 92%.

The overall impression is that all faculties are found to be very good.

**PRINCIPAL** 



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203

#### 2018-2020 Batch FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

Name of the Student		Name of the School :
Teacher:		
Name of the Guide Teacher	:	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1. The Student's Discipline in general					
2. The Environment of the School					
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee					
4. Observation& Feedback provided by the Guide Teacher					
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities					
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
7. Students attitude during Teaching Learning process					
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
9. Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room					
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process					
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test					
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice					
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice					
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations					
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice					



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203

### 2019-2021 Batch FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

Name of the Student		Name of the School :
Teacher:		
Name of the Guide Teacher	:	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1. The Student's Discipline in general					
2. The Environment of the School					
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee					
4. Observation& Feedback provided by the Guide Teacher					
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities					
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
<ol><li>Students attitude during Teaching Learning process</li></ol>					
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
9. Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room					
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process					
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test					
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice					
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice					
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations					
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice					



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203

### 2020-2022 Batch FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

Name of the Student		Name of the School :
Teacher:		
Name of the Guide Teacher	:	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1. The Student's Discipline in general					
2. The Environment of the School					
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee					
4. Observation& Feedback provided by the Guide Teacher					
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities					
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
<ol><li>Students attitude during Teaching Learning process</li></ol>					
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
9. Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room					
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process					
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test					
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice					
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice					
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations					
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice					



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203

### 2021-2023 Batch FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

Name of the Student		Name of the School :
Teacher:		
Name of the Guide Teacher	:	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1. The Student's Discipline in general					
2. The Environment of the School					
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee 4. Observation& Feedback					
provided by the Guide Teacher					
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities					
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
7. Students attitude during Teaching Learning process					
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
9. Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room					
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process					
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test					
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice					
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice					
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations					
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice					



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203

#### 2022-2024 Batch FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

Name of the Student		Name of the School :
Teacher:		
Name of the Guide Teacher	:	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1. The Student's Discipline in general					
2. The Environment of the School					
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee 4. Observation& Feedback					
provided by the Guide Teacher					
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities					
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
7. Students attitude during Teaching Learning process					
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
9. Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room					
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process					
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test					
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice					
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice					
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations					
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice					



Pandur, Thiruvallur Dt. 631 203 Year: 2021 - 2022 Batch

## FEEDBACK OF THE STUDENTS ON TEACHING PRACTICE B.ED II YEAR

	Name of the Student Teacher:	S.NISHANTHI	Name of the School: Municipal higher Sec School, Third	lalluz.
The Person of Street, or other Designation of the Person o	Name of the Guide Teacher	: P.Menaka	1,	

Read the following statements and provide your feedback on the five-point relating scale:

Details	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
The Student's Discipline in general		1			
2. The Environment of the School	•	V	•		
3. Attitude of the Guide Teacher towards the Trainee	V				
4. Observation& Feedback provided by the Guide Teacher		- Bu	V		
5. Co-operation & Guidance of the School authorities	~				
6. Achievement of the School in academic activities					
7. Students attitude during Teaching Learning process		-			
8. Your rapport with the students (Student-Teacher Interaction)					
Effectiveness of Micro- Teaching Skills in the class room		-			
10. Usage of TLM in your teaching process			<b>/</b>		
11. Performance of the students in the Achievement Test			~		
12. self-evaluation before teaching practice			~		and the
13. Self-evaluation after teaching practice	~				
14. Acquisition of skills in assessment in teaching & evaluations			22		45.
15. Your experience & reflections about Teaching practice	V		KTOIL	,	



# Approved by NCTE/Affiliated to Tan;ilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

## TEACHING PRACTICE FEEDBACK FORM

## Year: 2021 - 2023

## (TO BE FILLED IN BY THE HEAD OF THE TEACHING PRACTICE SCHOOL)

1.	Name of the Studen	nt Teacher : 5	AAHZIN.	ITHI	
2.	Name of the teaching	ng practice school: Muni	cipal high	nen Secondary School, Th	iorupo
•	Period of teaching p		y to I		
4.	No. of pupil teacher		17		
5.	Name of the superv	risor : M	rs. P. ME	NAKA D. TEd., M.A.	320
	a) Performance of t	he pupil teacher in class			1550
6.	Subject matter tau	ght by pupil teacher			
	well planned	b) unplanned	c) average		
7.	Class control of stu	ident			
	a) excellent	b) very good v good	d) fair	e) poor	
8.	Personality of Pupil	l teacher			
	a) excellent	by very good c) good	d) fair	e) poor	
9.	Use of teaching aid	s by student			
	a) excellent	b) very good er good	d) fair	e) poor	
10	.Usage of Black Boa	rd by Pupil teacher			
	a) excellent	very good c) good	d) fair	e) poor	
11	.General discipline	of pupil teacher in classro	om		
	a) excellent	very good c) good	d) fair	e) poor	

pil teacher			
	d) fair	e) poor	
	d) fair		
		2 17	
	d) fair		
	Á		
	d) fair	e) poor	
n of the Pupil teacher			
b) very good c) good	d) fair	e) poor	
ons for improvement: _	Can.	inprove	
students.	ire a	9004 00	1 4001
- TA 298			
	b) very good e) good pil teacher with the subject b) very good e) good pil teacher with the student b) very good c) good pared by the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good n of the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good n of the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good	b) very good er good d) fair  pil teacher with the subject teachers of b) very good er good d) fair  pil teacher with the students in the class  price of the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good d) fair  pared by the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good drain  n of the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good drain	b) very good e good d) fair e) poor pil teacher with the subject teachers of the school b) very good e good d) fair e) poor pil teacher with the students in the class room were good c) good d) fair e) poor pared by the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good d) fair e) poor n of the Pupil teacher b) very good c) good d) fair e) poor



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University

Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

### PARENT'S FFEDBACK

#### பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Programme: M.Ed / B.Ed

Year: 2021 - 2023

1. Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர் S. SEKAR

2. Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர்

S. NISHANTHI

3. Address with contact Number வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண் Thiruvallur. 631203 ph. no: 8248190670

 Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து Good

 Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி

Good

6. Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி

tel Facility : தி வசதி

7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல்

Excellent

8. Infrastructure facility கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி 61000

9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக அதுவு

CHOO

 Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனம் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான பரிந்துரைகள்

Signature of the parent(s)

S. GFB n

# Indira

## INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### **PARENT'S FEEDBACK**

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

•	Programme:	M.Ed / B.Ed	
---	------------	-------------	--

Year: 2021-22

1. Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர் IDA PRAMILA. T

2. Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர் : BLESSY KERXITAL . V

3. Address with contact Number வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண் : No: 157/20 Ghandi puram J.N.
Road Thirwaller - MOB: 9003855084

 Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து very Good.

5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி

6. Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி : -

7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல்

: Excellent

8. Infrastructure facility கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி : 600 d.

9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

: Good.

10. Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான பரிந்துரைகள் Need some improvement in Restroom cleaning and management.

## SKILL OF INTRODUCING LESSON

பாடத்தை அறிமுகப்படுத்தும் தீறன்

Name o	of the	teacher	trainee
TOLL EQUILED	म कि	Amuni 6	பயர்

Name of the Observer

உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject

Unit

அන ල

Topic

பாடத் தலைப்பு

No. of Students

மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration காை அளவு

Date Coss

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	V.Poor 1	Poor 2	Avg.	Good 4	V.Good
1.	Use of previous Knowledge முன்னறிவு அனுபவத்தை பயன்படுத்துதல்					
2.	Link between Introducing and Main Points முக்கிய கருத்துக்களை அறிமுகத்துடன் தொடர்புபடுத்துதல்					
3.	Success in focusing Attention at the start of the Lesson பாடத்துவக்கத்தில் மாணவர்களின் கவனத்தை குவித்தல்					

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

# SKILL OF USING BLACK BOARD கரும்பலகையை பயன்படுத்தும் திறன்

Name of the teacher trainee மாணவ ஆசிரியர் பெயர்

Name of the Observer

உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject

Unit

<del>න</del>මගල

Topic பாடத் தலைப்பு

No. of Students

மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration

காற அளவு

Date தேதி

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	V.Poor	Poor 2	Avg.	Good 4	V.Good 5
1.	Legibility தெளிவு					
2.	Clarity திருத்தமாக எழுதுதல்					
3.	Underlining the Important Points முக்கிய கருத்துகளை கோடிட்டுக் காட்டுதல்					
4	Spelling out while writing சொல்லிக்கொண்டே எழுதுதல்					
5	Students Participation மாணவர்களின் பங்கேற்பு				Maria	

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

## SKILL OF EXPLAINING

விளக்கும் திறன்

Name of	the teache	er trainee	
மாணவ	ஆசிரியர்	பெயர்	

Name of the Observer : உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject : Unit

அலக Topic

No. of Students பாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration :

Date :

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	V.Poor 1	Poor 2	Avg.	Good 4	V.Good 5
1.	Use of Illustrations உதாரணங்கள் கொடுத்தல்					
2.	Cognitive Link அறிவுசார் தொடர்பு					
3.	Meaningful Repetition பொருள்பட மீண்டும் உரைத்தல்					
4	Comparing and Contrasting ஒப்பிட்டு வேறுபடுத்துதல்					

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

# SKILL OF STIMULUS VARIATION

பல்வகைத் தூண்டல்களைப் பயன்படுத்தும் திறன்

Name of the teacher trainee	
மாணவ ஆசிரியர் பெயர்	
Name of the Observer	

உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject

Unit :

<del>ව</del>නාගල Topic :

பாடத் தலைப்பு
No. of Students

மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration :

Date C55

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	Tallies
1.	Teacher Movement ஆசிரியர் இடமாற்றம்	
2.	Gestures மெய்ப்பாடுகள்	
3.	Modulation of Voice குரலில் ஏற்ற இறக்கம்	
4	Focusing கவனம் ஈர்த்தல்	
5	Change in Interaction Pattern இடை வினை மாற்றம்	
6	Pausing பேச்சு நிறுத்தம்	
7	Aural - Visual Switching புலன் மாற்றம்	

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

## SKILL OF NON VERBAL QUES

மொழி சார்பு குறிப்புகள்

Name of the Teacher Trainee மாணவ ஆசிரியர் பெயர் Name of the Observer உற்று நோக்குபவரின் பெயர் Subject பாடம் Unit அலகு Topic பாடத் தலைப்பு No. of Students மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை Duration கால அளவு Date தேதி

S.No	Components	Tally
1	Facial Expression முகபாவனைகள்	
2	Gestures மெய்பாடுகள்	
3	Voice Tone குரல் தொனி	
4	Authoritative Posture அதிகார தோற்றம்	
5	Initiating Interactions இடைவினையைஊக்குவித்தல்	

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

## FLUENCY IN COMMUNICATION SKILL

சரளமாக செய்தி அளிக்கும் திறன்

Name of the teacher trainee மாணவ ஆசிரியர் பெயர் Name of the Observer உற்று நோக்குபவரின் பெயர் Subject பாடம் Unit அலகு Topic பாடத் தலைப்பு No. of Students மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை Duration கால அளவு Date

V. Good V. Poor Good Poor Average S. Components 2 3 5 1 No Making Eye contact 1 அனைவரையும் பார்த்தல்

Appropriate Pronounciation சரியான உச்சரிப்பு Change in Intonation 3 குரலில் ஏற்ற இறக்கத்தில் மாற்றம் Clarity in words 4 வார்த்தையில் தெளிவு Link throughout the content தொடர்பு

Remarks:

தேதி

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

பாட முழுமைக்கும்

## SKILL OF REINFORCEMENT

வலுவூட்டிகளைப் பயன்படுத்தும் திறன்

Name of	the teacher trainee	
மாணவ	ஆசிரியர் பெயர்	

Name of the Observer உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject

Unit :

Topic :

No. of Students :

மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration :

Date 多數

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	Tallies
1.	Positive Verbal reinforcement விரும்பத் தகுந்த சொல் வலுவூட்டி	
2.	Positive Non - Verbal reinforcement விரும்பத்தகுந்த செயல் வலுவூட்டி	
3.	Negative verbal reinforcement விரும்பத்தகா சொல் வலுவூட்டி	
4	Negative Non-verbal reinforcement விரும்பத்தகா செயல் வலுவூட்டி	
5	Extra Verbal reinforcement பிற சொல் வலுவூட்டி	

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

# SKILL OF PROBING QUESTIONING

கிளர் வினா திறன்

Name of	the teacher	trainee
	ஆசிரியர் (	

Name of the Observer

உற்று நோக்ககுபவரின் பெயர்

Subject

UTLL

Unit

அலகு

Topic

பாடத் தலைப்பு

No. of Students

மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

Duration

கால அளவு

Date 多數

S.No.	Components உட்கூறுகள்	V.Poor	Poor 2	Avg.	Good 4	V.Good
1.	Prompting எண்ணத்தூண்டல்					
2.	Seeking Further Information மேன்மேலும் செப்தீகளை வரவழைத்தல்					
3.	Refocusing மீண்டும் கவனத்தை ஈர்த்தல்					
4	Redirecting திசைத் திருப்புதல்					
5	Increasing / Developing critical awareness காரணம் உணர் ஆற்றல்					

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையொப்பம்

## SKILL OF CLOSURE

பாடம் முடிக்கும் திறன்

Name of the Teacher Trainee umaman ayahihuit Guut Name of the Observer உற்று நோக்குபவரின் பெயர் Subject LITT. ID Unit 到的因 Topic பாடத் தலைப்பு No. of Students மாணவர்களின் எண்ணிக்கை Duration கால அளவு Date 355

S. No	Components	V. Poor	Poor 2	Average 3	Good 4	V. Good
1	Recapitulation கருத்துக்களை தொகுத்து கூறுதல்					
2	Usage of new knowledge புதிய அறிவனைப் பயன்படுத்துதல்					
3	Associate with previous knowledge முன்னநிவுடன் தொடர்புபடுத்துதல்					

Remarks:

Signature of the Supervisor மேற்பார்வையாளரின் கையோப்பம்



## இந்திரா கல்வியியல் கல்லூரி

## INDHIRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Accreditated by National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) (Affiliated to NCTE & TamilNadu Teachers Education University) College Code: 12306

No.1, V.G.R. GARDENS, V.G.R. Nagar, Pandur, Thiruvallur - 631 203.

## PRACTISING MINI-LESSON INTERGRATION OF TEACHING STEPS AND **TEACHING SKILLS**

## ASSESSMENT BY PEERS / TEACHER - EDUCATOR

Note: Put a tick mark () against the appropriate mastery level of the teaching steps and skill.

Teaching Steps	Average	Good	Very Good	20 Mini
Motivation		4		
Presentation				
Interaction				
Reflection				
Summing up				
Teaching Skills				
Introducing				
Explaining				
Questioning				
Varying the Stimulus	,			
Non-verbal cues				
Closure				
Tuency in communication				
Total				-
lange of Score: 13- 39				
	ASSESSMENT	COF MINI-TE	ACHING	
		or uniti-12	Acrilled	
Average terpretation of scores:	ge Good	Very Go	ood boo	
verage: 13	Good: 14 – 26			

Signature of the Observer

Signature of the Teacher-Educator

Name of the Student Teacher:

Subject:

Class :

Name of the Guide Teacher :

Lesson Plan		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1981
Date												General Remarks
Aspects	Max. Marks				Ma	arks A	Awar	ded				
Lesson Plan     a) Instructional Objectives     (Clarity, Appropriateness)	10											
b) Content (adequacy, accuracy, organization)	10											
c) Techniques (Suitability, Variety)	10				0890	a k					nos	
d) Instructional Aids (relevance, originality, variety)	10											
e) Lesson Plan Structure (neat, complete)	10											
Teaching     a) Introduction :     (attention gaining : statement of objectives, link with previous knowledge)	5											
b) Communication of information (appropriate techniques : logical sequence, voice, language skills, adequate explanation)	25							u) i				
c) Use of teaching aids (purposive and purposeful, improved aids)	10					ic a					E Sala	131
d) Applications (transfer of life situations)	10					251.0	W 110				- sain	
e) Summarizing (main points: memory cues)	10									1920		NORTH THE PARTY NAMED IN
f) Evaluation (as the lesson goes on : at the end oral questions : assignments)	10						nni	10.0	16		1000	AGO MAGA
g) Student, Activities (during the lessons; follow-up activities)	10							- 0			above .	uni tet
Classroom Management     a) maintenance of discipline	10							12	637			
b) Individual attention	10											
c) Personal bearing	10											
Total	150			111111	-							

	Lesson Plan		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
	Date									-	10	20	General
	Aspects	Max. Marks				Ma	arks A	Award	ded				Remarks
1	Lesson Plan a) Instructional Objectives (Clarity, Appropriateness)	10											
1	b) Content (adequacy, accuracy, organization)	10											
	c) Techniques (Suitability, Variety)	10											
	d) Instructional Aids (relevance, originality, variety)	10											
	e) Lesson Plan Structure (neat, complete)	10											
2	Teaching a) Introduction: (attention gaining: statement of objectives, link with previous knowledge)	5											
	b) Communication of information (appropriate techniques : logical sequence, voice, language skills, adequate explanation)	25											
	c) Use of teaching aids (purposive and purposeful, improved aids)	10											
	d) Applications (transfer of life situations)	10											
	e) Summarizing (main points: memory cues)	10											
	f) Evaluation (as the lesson goes on : at the end oral questions : assignments)	10	-		-	33 1							
	g) Student, Activities (during the lessons; follow-up activities)	10											
3.	Classroom Management a) maintenance of discipline	10									1000		
	b) Individual attention	10					25.79			199	17 A		
	c) Personal bearing	10								100	n lit		
	Total	150											

Г	Lesson Plan		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	
H	Date												General Remarks
-	Aspects	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded										
1.	Lesson Plan a) Instructional Objectives (Clarity, Appropriateness)	10											
	b) Content (adequacy, accuracy, organization)	10											
	c) Techniques (Suitability, Variety)	10											
	d) Instructional Aids (relevance, originality, variety)	10											
	e) Lesson Plan Structure (neat, complete)	10		N.									
2.	Teaching a) Introduction: (attention gaining: statement of objectives, link with previous knowledge)	5											
	b) Communication of information (appropriate techniques : logical sequence, voice, language skills, adequate explanation)	25											
	c) Use of teaching aids (purposive and purposeful, improved aids)	10											
	d) Applications (transfer of life situations)	10											
	e) Summarizing (main points: memory cues)	10											
	f) Evaluation (as the lesson goes on : at the end oral questions : assignments)	10											
	g) Student, Activities (during the lessons; follow-up activities)	10											
3.	Classroom Management a) maintenance of discipline	10											
	b) Individual attention	10											
	c) Personal bearing	10											
	Total	150											

Countersigned



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### **PARENT'S FEEDBACK**

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Year: 2018-2019 Programme: M.Ed / B.Ed 1. Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர் 2. Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர் 3. Address with contact Number வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண் 4. Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து 5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி 6. Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி 7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல் 8. Infrastructure facility கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி 9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

10. Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான

பரிந்துரைகள்



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### **PARENT'S FEEDBACK**

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Progra	mme: M.Ed / B.Ed		Year: <b>2019-2020</b>
1.	Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர்	:	
2.	Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர்	:	
3.	Address with contact Number வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண்	:	

4. Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து

5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி

 Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி

7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல்

8. Infrastructure facility : கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி

9. Support extended by the office : கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

 Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான பரிந்துரைகள்



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### PARENT'S FEEDBACK

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Year: 2020-2021 Programme: M.Ed / B.Ed 1. Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர் 2. Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர் 3. Address with contact Number ഖ്ഥ്റ്ര ഗ്രക്ഷറ്റി / ക്രെതെവേഴി ഒൽ 4. Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து 5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி 6. Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி 7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல் 8. Infrastructure facility கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி 9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

10. Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான

பரிந்துரைகள்



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### **PARENT'S FEEDBACK**

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Year: 2021-2022 Programme: M.Ed / B.Ed 1. Name of the Parent பெற்றோர் பெயர் 2. Name of the Student மாணவர் பெயர் 3. Address with contact Number வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண் 4. Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து 5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி 6. Hostel Facility விடுதி வசதி 7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல்

10. Any other suggestions for improvement:

கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி

9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

8. Infrastructure facility

வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான பரிந்துரைகள்



Approved by NCTE / Affiliated to Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Re-accreditated by B++ level by NAAC

#### **PARENT'S FEEDBACK**

பெற்றோர் கருத்து

Programme: M.Ed / B.Ed

1. Name of the Parent : பேற்றோர் பெயர்

2. Name of the Student : பாணவர் பெயர்

3. Address with contact Number : வீட்டு முகவரி / தொலைபேசி எண்

4. Opinion about Student Progress மாணவரின் முன்னேற்றம் பற்றி கருத்து

5. Transport Facility கல்லூரி போக்குவரத்து வசதி

6. Hostel Facility : விடுதி வசதி

7. Motivation and Guidance offered by the faculty Members : ஆசிரியர்கள் முலம் வழங்கப்படும் ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வழிகாட்டல்

8. Infrastructure facility கல்லூரி உட்கட்டமைப்பு வசதி

9. Support extended by the office கல்லூரி அலுவலக ஆதரவு

 Any other suggestions for improvement: வேறு ஏதேனும் முன்னேற்றத்திற்கான பரிந்துரைகள்